
Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



aSB249 .A3

COTTON

VARIETIES PLANTED


1953 - 1960

UNITIED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

Cotton Division

MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE AUGUST 1930



Cotton Varieties Planted 1956-60

CORRECTIONS

Estimates of the varieties of cotton planted in 1960 for Arizona, New Mexico and District 6 Texas are incorrect as published in the report "Cotton Varieties Planted, 1956-60". Corrected figures are shown below by specified table and page numbers. Please substitute these figures for those appearing in the report.

Table 1, Page 3

	/ariety			State		
	Year		Arizona		New Mexic	20
79610			Percent	6143A	Percent	
Acala Deltapine Other	1960 1960 1960		79 17 4		86 - 10	

Table 22, Page 23

District	Variety					
and State	Acala	American-Egyptian				
	Percent	Percent				
District 6 Texas	87	11				

Table 23, Page 25

District	35	Vari	ety	
and County	Acala 1517 B	Acala 1517 C	Pima S-1	Stoneville
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 6 El Paso		68	30	
Hudspeth Pecos		96	4	
Reeves All Other	28	64	4	1
Total	17	69	11	

Page 2

Table 25, Page 26

State	Variety							
and Year	Acala 44	Acala 1517-C	Pima S-1	Other				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent				
Arizona 1960 New Mexico 1960	50	78	4	9				
Texas-District 6 1960		69	11	18				

Table 26, Page 27

		3 2 2 2						
States				Vari	ety			
counties	Acala 4-42	Acala 44	Acala 1517 C	Acala 1517 BR	Delta- pine	Pima S-1	Stone- ville	Other
918	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Arizona								
Graham			62			38		
Maricopa		62	0.0		24	4		*
Pima	0.0		39			6		*
Pinal	26	46				2		*
Total		50			17	4		*
New Mexico								
Dona Ana			88	1		10		1
Total			78			3		
Cexas								
District 6						-12		
El Paso			68			30		
Hudspeth			96			4		
Reeves			64	28		4	1	
Total			69	17		11		

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

Cotton Division

COTTON VARIETIES PLANTED 1956-60

CONTENTS

		Table No.	Page No.
Introduction			1
Leading Varieties		•	1
United States Map		•	2
Varieties by States and United States		. 1	3
Varieties by States and Counties:			
Alabama			
Varieties by State		. 8	10
Varieties by Counties		. 9	11
Arizona			
Varieties by State		. 25	26
Varieties by Counties		. 26	27
Arkansas			
Varieties by State	• • • •	. 16	16
Varieties by Counties		. 17	17
California Varieties by State		. 25	26
Varieties by Counties		. 25	27
Florida		. 20	21
Varieties by State		. 24	26
Georgia		• 44	20
Varieties by State		. 6	8
Varieties by State		. 7	9
Illinois		• /	,
Varieties by State		. 24	26
Kentucky		• 27	20
Varieties by State		. 24	26
		• •	20
Louisiana		. 18	18
Varieties by State			19
Varieties by Counties	• • • •	. 19	19
Mississippi		10	12
Varieties by State		. 10	13
Varieties by Counties		• 11	1.3
Missouri		. 14	15
Varieties by State			15
Varieties by Counties		. 15	13
		. 25	26
Varieties by State		. 25	20
New Mexico		25	26
Varieties by State			27
Varieties by Counties	• • • •	. 20	21
North Carolina		. 2	4
Varieties by State			5
Varieties by Counties			3
Oklahoma		. 20	20
Varieties by State			21
Varieties by Counties			21
South Carolina		. 4	6
Varieties by State			7
Varieties by Counties			-
Tennessee		. 12	14
Varieties by State			15
Varieties by Counties	7		
Texas Varieties by State		. 22	22-23
Varieties by Counties			24-25
Texas-District 6			
Varieties by District		25	26
Varieties by Counties			27
Virginia			
Varieties by State		24	26

P. O. Box 8074, Crosstown Station

Memphis 4, Tennessee

August 1960

1956-1960

Estimates of the percentages of the various varieties of cotton planted in the United States in 1960, by counties, districts, and states, are presented in this report. Comparative variety data for the four years 1956-59 are shown by districts and states. A preliminary report containing percentage distributions of cotton varieties planted by counties and districts in the early harvesting area of south Texas was published in early July.

The variety data presented herein are based principally on estimates furnished by cotton ginners for the territories served by their gins. Supplemental information is obtained from others closely associated with cotton culture in their respective areas, such as seed breeders and dealers, and county agents.

These estimates indicate that Deltapine and Acala remain the two leading general varieties of cotton in the United States. Deltapine regains first position with 24 percent of the total acreage against 21 percent last year. Acala is second this year with 21 percent of the total against 24 percent a year earlier. The variety "Deltapine" as used in this discussion includes DPL Smooth Leaf which appears to be gaining in popularity, accounting for about 6 percent of the total acreage this year. The next two principal varieties are Lankart and Coker accounting for 12 and 8 percent of the total acreage, respectively. A percentage distribution of the ten leading general varieties in 1960 with comparisons for four previous years is shown at the foot of this page. A newcomer to this list is "Rex" which displaces "Fox" as one of this year's leading varieties.

The 1960 crop got off to a rather slow start. In most of the eastern half of the belt, cool rainy weather during May necessitated the replanting of a fairly large acreage one or more times. In the southwestern area, frost in February killed early planted cotton in the lower Rio Grande Valley, and heavy rains in June washed out considerable acreage particularly in west Texas. Planting was retarded somewhat in the far western area but replanting was limited in that area. The completion of the survey from which the variety estimates were compiled was delayed as resurveying was necessary in areas where heavy replanting occurred subsequent to the original survey.

Coker continues to be the leading variety planted in the southeastern area; Deltapine is the principal variety in the south central area; Lankart is the leader in the southwestern area; and Acala accounts for the bulk of the acreage in the far western area.

In the southeastern area, Coker represents well over half of the total acreage planted to cotton this year. The proportion of this variety planted, however, decreased in all states in the southeastern area from a year earlier. The second leading variety in the southeastern area is Empire. Deltapine continues to be the principal variety in the south central area. Rex moves into second place in the area with about a fourth of the Arkansas acreage planted to this variety. Delfos is third in importance and Stoneville is fourth. In the southwestern area, Lankart continues in first place with over a third of the cotton acreage. Other leading varieties in the southwestern area are Deltapine, Acala, Western Stormproof and Gregg. Acala continues to predominate in the far western area. Acreage planted to Deltapine, however, increased rather sharply in Arizona this year with about 15 percent of the Arizona acreage in this variety compared with less than one percent last year. Pima S-l is the principal American-Egyptian variety with practically all of the extra long staple acreage planted in this variety.

The variety data presented in this report are estimates which should serve as reliable guides as to the geographic distribution and the proportions of the various varieties of cotton throughout the Cotton Belt.

Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, United States, 1956-60

Year	Acala	Coker	Delfos	Deltapine	Empire	Lankart	Northern Star	Rex	Stone- ville	Western Stormproof	Other	Total
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
1956	17	13	4	34	5	10	3	-	3	1	10	100
1957	21	12	4	31	5	9	2		3	1	13	100
1958	25	10	3	25	б	13	3		2	2	11	100
1959	24	8	5	21	3	15	2	2	2	3	15	100
1960	21	8	4	24	4	12	2	4	3	3	15	100

Less than 0.5 percent.

Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

UNITED STATES Districts for Cotton Quality Reports MILES U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

2

Table 1. United States: Estimated percentage of cotten acreage planted to specified variaties, by states and United States, 1956-60.

Variety and Year	Aesla	1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	Coker 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	Delfes 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	Deltapine 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	Empire 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	Lankart 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	Morthern Star 1956 1957 1958 1959	Rex 1956 1957 1958 1959	Stoneville 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	Western Stormprool 1956 1957 1959 1959 1960	Other 1/ 1956 1957 1958 1959	Total 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960
Alabana	Percent	1 1 1 1 1	33 34 33 33 4 26 33 33 4		E & 2 & 2	2	1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1	W 4 4 M H	proof	16 17 16 28 34	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
ا سنده	Percent	88334	• 1 • 1 1		13.	1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	27 0 0 4	000 1000 1000 1000
	Percent	1 1 1 1 1	ਜਜ* 1 ।	28 18 19	2 2 2 2 2 2	4 0 4 0 0	1 1 1 1 1	• 1 1 1 1	4 11 2	vn m m N	1 1 1 1 1	\$ 1 \$ \$ 10 \$ \$	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
California	Percent	82838	1 * * 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	0 m m r o	5 B I I B	1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	* * # *	8 8 8 8 8
Flerida	Percent	1 1 1 1 1	3 62 35 63	1 1 1 1 1	812020	2 14 15 17		1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	32 23 24 42	000100000000000000000000000000000000000
	Percent		22 82 22		0 0 F 0 4	30 22 33 38	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1				5 7 7 10 14	0 0 0 0 0
Illineis	Percent		1 1 1 1 1	4 H 10 1 1	40111	86 - 4 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 -	1 1 1 1 1		5 2	7t +		8 5 5 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
h	Percent			11101	83 84 74 74	1 1 1 1 1			1 1 1 71 00	1 + 1 0 1	1 1 1 1 1	13 7 17 12 18	0 0 0 0 0
d	Percent	1 1 1 1 1	ааааа	понн	38888	• 1 • 1 1			1 1 1 • •	ивени		иимию	000 100 100 100 100
Mississippi	Percent		* 10 10 01 01	* * # # # #	8 2 2 7 7 2	пвивы		* I \$ I I	1 ! ! el #	8 10 01 4 Pr		7 7 81 18	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
	Percent	1 1 1 1 1	* m m ! !	23 33 33 35 35 35	13	• 1 • 1 1	1 1 1 1		ı ı • → □	EL 22 8 9 9		25 26 26 31	9 9 9 9 9
Mevada	Percent	001	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1			1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
	Percent	R8 R8 2	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	H • • • •	σωσιι	w 4 4 4 H	H +	1 1 1 1 1	H H + M	1 1 1 1 1	9 7 9 70 70 70	000 000
Merth Carelina	Fereent	1 1 1 1 1	8 8 6 8 8		меніе	तनन्त्र		1 1 1 1 1			1 (1 1 1	* * ~ 1 N	100 100 100 100 100
4	Fereent	• 1464	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	רא מו מו אי א	етте	\$ 1 8 2 5	61 1 1 8 81 1 8 81 1 8 1 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		22 8 8 8 8 8	4 7 4 8 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	18181	100 100 100 100 100
	Fereent		84 88 88 88	1 4 1 1 1		• ศ ศ လ ต	1 1 1 1 1			1 1 • 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	# # # # O	100
Tennessee	Percent	1 1 1 1 1	ननन।।	HINNN	22222	13 23 23 61		• 1 1 1 1	11140	0 4 4 M 4	1 1 1 1 1	9 B 9 11	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Texas	Percent	8 Of 0 0 8		00000	19 16 11 11	B B B B B B	31 32 35 36	0 1- 0 4 10		000m+	4000	2 2 2 2 2	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Virginia	Percent	1 1 1 1 1	88 88 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 8		ଅନାନ ।	13 S S 1 +	1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
United	Percent	22 24 25 21 21 22 24 25 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	13 10 10 10	च च ल ४० च	* # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	n n o m or	9 ° E 51	титич	1 1 + 0/4	m m N N m	нно ее	12 12 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	0001 00

• Less than 0.5 percent, 1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those speelfied.




Table 2. North Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1956-60.

District and State	@innings	Coker 100	Coker 124	Delta- pine	Em p1r e	Other <u>1</u> /	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1			2200	10100	100000	10100	10100
1956	76	97	-	1	2	•	100
1957	50	97	-	•	3	•	100
1958	38	96	-	1	3	•	100
1959	78	96	-	•	3	1	100
1960	-	93	-	-	6	1	100
District 2							
1956	157	99	-	1	•	-	100
1957	113	99	•	•	•	1	100
1958	146	97	1	1	1	•	100
1959	144	99	1	•	•	-	100
1960	-	93	3	•	3	1	100
District 3							
1956	133	99	-	•	•	1	100
1957	73	99	•	•	•	1	100
1958	77	97	1	•	1	1	100
1959	114	98	•	•	1	1	100
1960	-	94	-	-	4	2	100
State							
1956	366	98	-	1	1	•	100
1957	236	99	•	•	1	•	100
1958	261	97	1	1	1	•	100
1959	336	99	•	•	1	-	100
1960	-	93	1	•	4	2	100

Table 3. North Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1960.

District and	Gins	1959 Ginnings	Coker 100	Dixie King	Empire	Moore Special	Other	Total
County		ļ	100	WHIE		phecial	1/	
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Pomont	Percent	Demont	Damaant
istrict l	Montper	partes	Percent	Perdent	Percent	rerdent	Percent	Percent
		0.0						
Anson	8	8,2	97	•	3	-	-	100
Cabarrus	4	1.4	98	-	2	-	_	100
Catawba	4	•9	59	-	38	-	3	100
Cleveland	22	29.3	100	-	-	-	-	100
Iredell	8	6.6	7 5	1	24	-	_	100
Lincoln	6	5.7	91	3	3	-	3	100
Mecklenburg	5	4.5 2.6	99 67	-	1 10	- 22	-	100
Rowan Rutherford	3 5		89	-		23	-	100
		3.7		~	11	-	-	100
Stanley Union	4 11	1.5 10.0	100 97	-	-	•	-	100
All Other	5	3.7	71	-	3 29	-	-	100
All Other			/1	-	29	-	-	100
Total	85	78.1	93	•	6	1	•	100
				T				
			Coker	Coker	Empire	Wanna-	Other	Total
			100	124		maker	1/	
istrict 2								
Bertie	4	4.0	100	-	_	_	_	100
Chowan	3	2.1	99	-	-	_	1	100
Edgecombe	6	6.6	77	10	13	-	_	100
Franklin	7	7.2	94	6		_	_	100
Halifax	16	19.5	93	_	7	_	-	100
Hertford	3	4.6	95	4	-	_	1	100
Johnston	18	17.2	96	2	2	-	_	100
Nash	12	12.6	91	_	1	8	_	100
Northampton	16	18.8	96	_	4	-	_	100
Pitt	5	7.4	90	10	_	-	_	100
Wake	3	3.3	96	-	4	-	-	100
Warren	8	6.7	97	3	-	_	_	100
Wayne	9	11.4	90	1	4	_	5	100
Wilson	7	9.5	93	5	-	2	-	100
All Other	10	13.1	92	` 6	1	1	-	100
Total	127	144.0	93	3	3	1		100
			Oalcon	24-1				
			Coker 100	Dixie King	Empire	Wanna- maker	Other	Total
			100	VIIIR		maker	1/	
istrict 3								
Bladen	3	1.3	100	-	-	-	-	100
Cumberland	6	6.9	100	-	-	-	-	100
Harnet	12	14.5	98	1	-	1	-	100
Hoke	4	6.5	94	2	-	4	-	100
Lee	3	2.4	100	-	-	-	-	100
Richmond	3	1.2	96	-	-	4	-	100
Robeson	22	39.6	88	-	11	, 1	-	100
Sampson	15	18.1	97	1	1	1	-	100
Scotland	8	14.5	93	4	-	-	3	100
All Other	6	8.7	100	•	-	-	-	100
		113.7						100

[•] Less than 0.5 percent.

^{1/} Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 4. South Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1956-60.

District and State	@innings	Coker 100	Delta- pine	Dixie King	Empire	Wannamaker All-in-one	Other	Total
	1,000							
	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1								
1956	101	99	•	_	1	-	•	100
1957	. 69	97	1	•	2	•	•	100
1958	57	95	1	2	1	1	•	100
1959	92	90	•	7	2	1	•	100
1960	-	89	•	7	2	1	1	100
District 2								
1956	279	99	-	-	•	-	1	100
1957	190	98	•	1	1	•	•	100
1958	163	97	-	1	1		1	100
1959	220	94	-	3	2	-	1	100
1960	-	87	•	7	4	-	2	100
District 3								
1956	142	99	-		•	1	•	100
1957	87	98	•	1	1	•	•	100
1958	82	93	-	5	1	1	•	100
1959	109	89	•	9	1	1	•	100
1960	•	87	•	10	1	1	1	100
State								
1956	522	99	•	-	•	•	1	100
1957	346	98	•	1	1	•	•	100
1958	302	96	•	2	1	•	1	100
1959	421	92	•	5	2	1	•	100
1960	-	87	•	8	3	1	1	100

[•] Less than 0.5 percent.

Less than 0.5 percent.

Local design of the security of the security

Table 5. South Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1960.

District and County	Gins	1959 @innings	Coker 100	Delta- pine	Dixie King	Emp1re	Wannamaker All-in-One	Other	Total
	Number	1,000 bales.	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percen
District 1	1,441,501	Bullet .	1010011	100000	1020010	10100110	<u>rereent</u>	rexcento	1010011
Abbev111e	4	6.2	62	-	37	1	_	_	100
Anderson	20	16.3	82	-	17	1	•	•	100
Cherokee	8	5.3	86	3	-	11	•	-	100
Chester	4	3.5	87	-	•	•	-	13	100
Edgefield	6	. 8.2	92	-	7	1	-	-	100
Fairfield	3	2.1	98	-	1	1	-	-	100
Greenville	12	6.2	95	-	4	-	1	-	100
Greenwood	3	1.5	96	-	4	-	-	-	100
Laurens	10	9.4	92	•	4	-	4	•	100
McCormick	3	1.5	100	-	-	-	-	•	100
Newberry	6	4.8	91	-	•	9	-	-	100
Ocenee	3	3,1	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Pickens	7	2,2	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Saluda	5	3.0	97	-	2	•	•	1	100
Spartanburg	19	7.8	94	-	-	5	-	1	100
Union	3	1.7	80	-	10	10	-	-	100
York	11	9.2	. 98	1	1	-	•	-	100
Total	127	92.0	89	•	7	2	1	1	100
			Coker	Fox	Dixie	Empire	Rex	Other	Total
			100		King			<u>1/</u>	
istrict 2									
Chesterfield	11	19.6	86	•	1	7	-	6	100
Clarendon	11	23.5	93	-	5	2	-	-	100
Darlington	9	14.4	97	-	1	-	-	2	100
Dillon	10	1 7. 3	73	4	17	1	1	4	100
Florence	14	26.5	91	•	6	2	1	•	100
Kershaw	4	4.7	66	-	32	2	-	-	100
Lancaster	6	5.5	79	-	2	19	-	-	100
Lee	15	26.7	98	•	1	1	-	-	100
Marion	3	8.0	74	-	3	23	•	-	100
Marlboro	13	27.1	83	6	9	-	-	2	100
Richland	4	2.2	82	-	18	-	-	•	100
Sumter	18	24.7	84	-	8	5	1	2	100
Williamsburg	9	17.6	79	-	8	11	2	-	100
All Other	3	1.5	68	-	16	16	-	-	100
Total	130	219.3	87	1	7	4	•	1	100
			Coker	Dixie	Emp1re	Rex	Wannamaker	Other	Total
			100	King			All-in-One	1/	
istrict 3									
Alken	8	9,0	92	6	2	-	•	-	100
Allendale	3	5,9	7 8	18	-	3	-	1	100
Bamberg	4	8.5	91	5	-	4	-	-	100
Barnwell	7	8.7	82	17	-	1	-	-	100
Calhoun	6	8.7	7 2	9	1	•	17	1	100
Colleton	4	3.2	84	12	-	4	-	•	100
	4	6.6	93	7	-	-	•	-	100
Dorchester		7.7	88	10	2	-	-	-	100
Dorchester Hampton	6								100
Dorchester	6 6	5.3	96	4	•	-	-	-	
Dorchester Hampton			96 86	4 11	2	1	-	•	100
Dorchester Hampton Lexington	6	5.3				1	- - -	•	100

[•] Less than 0.5 percent.

^{1/} Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 6. Georgia: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1956-60

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100	Delta- pine	Dixie King	Empire	Plains	Stone- ville	Other	Total
	1,000								
	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1									
1956	199	57	7	-	33	•	1	2	100
1957	116	57	7	•	35	•	1	•	100
1958	85	57	7	•	35	•	-	1	100
1959	175	64	4	1	31	•	•	•	100
1960	-	50	3	7	37	•	•	3	100
District 2									
1956	231	47	14	-	29	7	1	2	100
1957	172	42	13	_	30	13	•	2	100
1958	160	46	10	•	31	10	•	3	100
1959	201	48	8	1	26	15	1	1	100
1960	-	47	7	3	29	11	1	2	100
District 3									
1956	149	69	6		17	1	1	6	100
1957	101	68	4	-	23	2	1	2	100
1958	104	71	3	1	20	2	1	2	100
1959	145	66	3	3	18	2	1	7	100
1960	-	63	1	9	23	1	-	3	100
State									
1956	579	57	9	-	28	3	1	2	100
1957	389	53	9	•	30	6	1	1	100
1958	349	57	7	•	29	5	•	2	100
1959	521	58	6	1	25	7	1	2	100
1960	**	52	4	6	30	5	•	3	100

[•] Less than 0.5 percent.

^{1/} Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 7. Georgia: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1960.

District and County	Gins	1959 Ginnings	Coker 100	DeKalb 108	Delta- pine	Dixie King	Empire	Other	Total
4-4-4-4-7	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
lstrict 1									
Barrow	3	5.3	28	-	-	-	72	-	100
Bartow	9	17.8	64	-	-	-	34	2	100
Carroll Coweta	8 5	4.5 5.1	62 13	-	-	=	38	-	100
Floyd	5	5.8	61	-	2	30 2	57	- 4	100
Franklin	6	5.1	47	_	2	1	31 50	4	100
Gordon	5	8.9	31		_	1	68		100
Gwinnett	4	1.7	51	_	1	-	48	-	100
Hancock	3	5.5	14	_	2	17	66	1	100
Hart	6	7.4	56	_	1	4	39	-	100
Henry	4	4.8	58		10	5	27	_	100
Jackson	8	5.1	55	_	-	-	45	_	100
Jasper	3	2.5	64	-		_	34	2	100
McDuffie	3	3.5	63	-	9	11	14	3	100
Madison	5	7.4	68	28	-	_	4	-	100
Meriwether	6	6.8	62	-	22	8	8	_	100
Morgan	7	8.1	36	_	-	45	18	1	100
Newton	3	2,2	90	-	4	-	6	_	100
Pike	3	4.3	62	-	_	-	38	_	100
Polk	3	5.2	35	_	2	_	63	<u>-</u>	100
Walton	9	12.7	59	21	8	9	3	_	100
Wilkes	3	1.9	78	-	-	1	20	1	100
All Other	30	43.0	44	_	1	7	46	2	100
Total	141	174.6	50	3	3	7	37	•	100
			Coker 100	Delta- pine	Dixie King	Empire	Plains	Other	Total
Istrict 2									
Bleckley	3	3.3	<i>7</i> 3	14	-	2	5	6	100
Brooks	4	6.9	53	6	2	27	12	-	100
Calhoun	3	6.5	56	1	2	13	2	16	100
Colquitt	9	19.6	26	2	1	37	30	4	100
Crisp	4	10.1	40	3	8	16	28	5	100
Dodge	5	5.9	58	2	•	36	4	-	100
Dooly	8	13.8	51	26	4	10	2	7	100
Irwin	3	5.9	18	-	-	82	-	-	100
Macon	5	7.8	72	4	3	9	-	12	100
Mitchell	4	8.2	66	1	8	21	4	-	100
Pulaski	4	8.7	40	20	15	14	11	-	100
Sumter	4	8.5	40	38	-	-	22	•	100
Taylor	5	8.3	36	-	2	62	-	-	100
Terrell	5	10.0	69	-	-	10	6	15	100
Thomas	3	4.6	64	-	-	15	21	•	100
Tift	4	7.5	50	-	4	29	17	-	100
Turner	4	7.4	30	10	1	47	12	-	100
Wilcox	4	6.0	54		4	31	10	1	100
Worth	5	7.4	32	2	•	62	4	-	100
All Other	34	45.3	47	5	1	33	9	5	100
Total	120	201.7	47	7	3	29	11	3	100
			Coker	Delta-	Dixie	Empire	Plains	Other	Total
istriot 3			100	pine	King			1/	
		11.5			10	20		2	100
Bulloch	4	11.3	66	-	12	20	•	2	100
Burke	13	25.5	67	-	13	20	-	-	100
candler	3	6.0	59	-	2	36	-	3	100
Emanuel	5	8.4	53	•	8	38	-	1	100
Jefferson	7	11.5	54	-	9	37	-	-	100
	4	8.7	82	-	-	17	-	1	100
	7	14.8	48	6	15	20	11	-	100
Laurens		10.9	57	-	23	20		-	100
Laurens Screven	4			1	2	21	1	22	100
Laurens Screven Toombs	4	6.6	53						
Laurens Screven Toombs Washington	4 5	9,3	93	2	-	3	-	2	
Laurens Screven Coombs Washington	4					3 29	•	2 3	100
Johnson Laurens Screven Toombs Washington All Other Total	4 5	9,3	93	2	-		- •		

Less than 0.5 percent.
 1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 8. Alabama: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1956-60.

District and State	Qinnings	Auburn 56	Çoker 100	Delta- pine	Dixie King	Empire	Fox	Plains	Stone- ville	Other	Total
	1,000										
	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1956	376	-	17	27	-	33	2	12	7	2	100
1957	268	•	16	27	•	35	2	10	7	3	100
1958	220	•	11	25	1	42	2	9	7	3	100
1959	406	1	11	20	11	40	2	11	4	-	100
1960	-	•	11	14	18	44	1	7	2	3	100
District 2											
1956	230	•	57	27	-	7	1	5	•	3	100
1957	160	1	52	28	1	7	•	9	1	1	100
1958	136	2	51	26	4	7	1	7	•	2	100
1959	180	4	50	18	13	5	•	8	•	2	100
1960	-	10	42	11	21	9	-	6	•	1	100
District 3											
1956	140	•	61	7	-	4	_	20	•	8	100
1957	99	1	51	6	•	8	•	24	•	10	100
1958	86	3	59	2	-	5	-	23	•	8	100
1959	129	2	53	2	1	7	-	26	-	9	100
1960	~	14	52	2	1	5	-	20	-	6	100
State											
1956	746	•	39	23	-	19	1	12	3	3	100
1957	527	1	34	24	•	21	1	12	4	3	100
1958	442	1	33	21	2	26	1	11	4	1	100
1959	715	2	31	16	9	23	1	13	2	. 3	100
1960	-	6	26	11	16	28	1	9	1	2	100

[•] Less than 0.5 percent. $\underline{1}$ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 9. Alabama: Estimated percentage of ootton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1960.

District and County	Gins	1959 @innings	Coker 100	Delta- pine	Dixie King	Emp1re	Fox	Plains	Stone- ville	Other	Total
)istrict l	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Blount	11	10.7	23	1	19	50	_	6	1	_	100
Cherokee	15	22.5	13	3	7	45	1	23	7	1	100
Colbert	9	17.8	-	65	24	4	-	2	-	5	100
Cullman	26	29.7	5	11	11	37	-	29	5	2	100
De Kalb	28	43.7	20	-	48	26	-	•	-	6	100
Etowah	10	9.7	28	1	17	35	-	17	2	-	100
Franklin	7	13.2	2	48	19	18	8	2	3	-	100
Jackson	14	21.3 22.1	4	19 36	18 10	51 50	-	5	2	1	100
Lauderdale Lawrence	16 15	36.0	-	23	25	31	_	1	- 5	3 16	100 100
Limestone	20	50.7	_	9	-	91	_	_	5	-	100
Madison	25	56.4	26	4	16	49	3	_	_	2	100
Marion	9	8.2	3	47	8	21	4	9	2	6	100
Marshall	23	33.3	29	-	27	17	-	17	8	2	100
Morgan	20	27.0	3	14	10	60	-	10	-	3	100
Winston	3	3.8	2	24	29	45	-	-	-	-	100
Total	251	406.3	11	14	18	44	1	7	2	3	100
			Auburn 56	Coker 100	Delta- pine	Dixie King	Empire	Plains	Stone- ville	Other	Total
strict 2											100
Autauga	5 7	4.6 4.1	45 7	43 21	5	1	2 6	- 65	4	-	100 100
Calhoun Chambers	3	4.1	2	21 59	8	23	5	3	-	-	100
Chilton	5	5.0	11	66	-	25	14	9	_	_	100
Clay	5	1.8	-	57	_	3	17	18	4	1	100
Dallas	10	18.3	9	55	3	11	20	2	-	_	100
Elmore	6	9.7	3	66	2	28	-	1	-	-	100
ayette	6	7.5	2	-	4	67	-	27	-	-	100
lale	8	11.3	3	27	20	23	19	8	-	-	100
Lamar	5	5.8	1	11	16	41	2	7	-	22	100
Lownde s	8	5,3	26	64	-	1	-	9	-	-	100
lacon	7	10.1	17	64		19	-	-	-	-	100
Marengo	7	11.0	2	75	9	14	-	1	-	-	100 100
Montgomery	6 5	5.9 6.2	30 6	64 24	1 24	3 30	1	13	_	-	100
Perry Pickens	10	9.6	•	8	52	39	•	1	-	_	100
Randolph	6	5.0	±	66	•	_	29	5	•	_	100
Russell	6	5.9	1	77	2	19	1	_	_	-	100
St. Clair	4	1.6	1	24	23	47	5	-	**	-	100
Shelby	3	5.7	47	5	15	_	33	-	-	-	100
Sumter	9	7.0	4	51	24	19	-	2	-	-	100
Talladega	5	5.5	20	7	6	22	45	-	-	-	100
Tallapoosa	4	3.9	4	73	1	22	-	-	•	-	100
Puscaloosa	10	9.0	10	3	52	27	5	3	•	•	100
Walker	4	1.5	-	2	-	10	15	72	-	1	100
All Other	12	13.6	11	45	2	28	10	3	1	-	100
Total	166	179.6	10	42	11	21	9	6	•	1	100
	•		Auburn 56	Coker 100	Delta- pine	Dixie King	Empire	Plains	Wannamaker All-in-One	Other <u>1</u> /	Total
strict 3											100
Barbour	7	7.1	4	77	-	1	6	12	10	-	100 100
Bullock	3	5.7	10	79 45	-	-	1	- 2	24	-	100
Butler	4	3.4 7.4	24 5	4 5 50	3 5	1	10	24	24	3	100
offee Conecuh	6 4	7.4 5.2	5 15	23	9	21	6	24	2	-	100
Covington	5	9.3	10	49	1	1	3	15	21	-	100
renshaw	4	4.5	7	33	2	1	4	44	5	4	100
ale	3	3.9	2	90	_	-	2	6	-	-	100
Escambia	3	8.2	4	90	-	1	1	3	1	-	100
}eneva	10	17.0	13	35	-	~	4	36	12	-	100
denry	5	9.4	22	54		-	-	15	9	-	100
Houston	8	15.3	22	40	-	-	14	21	3	-	100
Monroe	7	10.8	19	32	6	1	3	38	1	-	.100
P1ke	8	8.1	34	43	-	-	10	13	-	-	100
W11cox	7	6.0	3	62	13	2	1	18	1	-	100
All Other	2	7.3	-	83	7	-	-	10	-	-	100
									6	•	100

[•] Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 10. Mississippi: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1956-60.

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100	Delfos	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Dixie King	Empire	Fex	Stone- ville	Other	Total
	1,000										
	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1956	894	2	6	81	-	•	•	5	1	5	100
1957	664	4	7	75	-	2	-	7	1	4	100
1958	615	6	5	7 9	•	1	_	5	2	2	100
1959	1,016	3	9	7 0	3	3	•	5	3	4	100
1960		2	6	34	31	1	-	11	8	7	100
District 2											
1956	370	4	1	76	-	-	3	1	9	6	100
1957	213	4	2	7 5	-	-	5	1	10	3	100
1958	223	5	2	78	•	•	4	•	8	3	100
1959	335	•	•	74	1	3	4	2	8	8	100
1960	-	1	1	68	4	5	2	1	7	11	100
District 3											
1956	196	10	•	80	-	-	6	1	2	1	100
1957	123	9	•	80	-	•	7	1	2	1	100
1958	82	15	•	76	-	•	6	1	2	•	100
1959	141	13	•	75	-	•	5	•	4	3	100
1960	-	12	-	69	3	1	4	1	6	4	100
istriot 4											
1956	117	12	•	74	-	_	7	2	4	1	100
1957	70	14	•	72	-	-	8	2	4	•	100
1958	35	13	•	74	-	-	8	1	4	•	100
1959	60	15	•	71	-	-	10	1	2	1	100
1960	-	19	-	61	•	1	12	•	3	4	100
State											
1956	1,577	4	4	80	-	-	2	3	3	4	100
1957	1,070	5	4	76	-	1	3	4	5	2	100
1958	955	5	3	79	•	1	2	3	2	5	100
1959	1,552	2	6	71	2	3	2	4	4	6	100
1960	-	3	4	46	21	2	1	7	7	9	100

[•] Less than 0.5 percent.

Less than 0.5 percent.

I/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 11. Mississippi: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1960.

District and County	@ins	1959 @innings	Coker 100	Delfos	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Dixie King	Fox	Stone- ville	Other	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1	51	157.0	_	2	25	30		20	2	2	100
Bolivar Coahoma	51 43	157.8 118.7	<u>-</u>	-	35 46	30 39	-	29 1	2	2 12	100
Holmes	19	47.6	6	10	40	10	3	5	18	8	100
Humphreys	16	52.6	1	34	35	26	-	-	-	4	100
Issaquena	3	10.2	17	2	15	22	-	36	-	8	100
Leflore	31	100.1	7	2	26	37	-	-	17	11	100
Quitman Sharkey	23 13	60.1 36.4	- 5	1 17	52 2	14 22	-	15 28	5	13	100
Sunflower	39	146.7	1		47	37	8	4	24	2	100
Tallahatohie	26	64.1	2	2	67	16	-	2	2	9	100
Tunica	20	52.9	9	-	30	57	-	-	-	4	100
Washington	41	116.0	-	6	6	35	-	23	26	4	100
Yazoo	13	49.0	3	37	27	13	1	-	12	7	100
All Other Total	2 340	4.9 1,017.1	- 2	- 6	30 34	50 31	- 1	5 11	- 8	15	100
Total	340	1,017.1								7	100
			Delta- pine	DPL Smooth	Dixie King	Empire	Fox	Rex	Stone- ville	Other	Total
District 2			-	Leaf							
Alcorn	5	12.1	54	-	29	-	5	2	7	3	100
Benton	5	8.9	44	-	-	12	-	19	23	2	100
Calhoun	8	12.1	64	-	-	-	-	-	35	1	100
Chiokasaw De Soto	9 15	13.8 37.0	88 64	12	2	2	1	- 6	5 7	4 5	100
Grenada	15 5	11.0	86	-	4	2		-	3	9	100
Itawamba	6	5.9	45	_	27	-	_	21	3	4	100
Lafayette	4	10.0	82	-	-	-	-	10	3	5	100
Lee	14	18.4	67	2	11	-	-	12	6	2	100
Marshall	16	31.7	62	3		8	1	5	14	7	100
Monroe Panola	12 21	20 . 3 49 . 8	90 74	1 8	4	-	1	- 6	7	4 2	100
Pontotoc	9	13.7	7 4 59	-	17	1	5	20	3	-	100
Prentiss	9	14.0	56	_	18	_	1	7	7	11	100
Tate	10	29.5	82	6	-	-	-	8	-	4	100
Tippah	9	17.2	43	2	-	4	2	36	6	7	100
Tishomingo	5	6,5	53	-	25	2	7	-	5	8	100
Union Yalobusha	7 3	10.3 12 . 5	83 57	-	3	4	-	18	8 7	5 15	100
Total	172	334.7	68	4	5	2	1	8	7	5	100
			Coker	Delta-	DPL	Dixie			Stone-	Other	
24 - 4 - 4 - 2			100	pine	Smooth Leaf	King	Empire	Rex	ville	1/	Total
District 3 Attala	7	8.7	20	73	1	_	3	1	1	1	100
Carroll	5	10.5	3	63	21	_	-	1	6	7	100
Clay	6	5,1	4	91	ı	3	-	-	ı	-	100
Hinds	10	15.3	8	77	-	2	4	2	4	3	100
Kemper	4	1.9	18	77	-	-	3	-	2	-	100
Lauderdale Leake	4 6	3.0 9.3	45 34	41 58	-	-	14 2	2		- 4	100 100
Lowndes	7	9.6	8	82	4	_	3	2	_	1	100
Madison	13	22.1	3	70	-	2	4	2	19	-	100
Montgomery	6	6.8	2	83	10	2	-	-	3	-	100
Neshoba	5	10.0	38	38	-	-	12	10	1	1	100
Newton	4	2.0	14	12	-	34	26	-	-	14	100
Noxubee Rankin	7 3	8.8 5.0	4 3	92 74	-	-	1 2	-	19	3 2	100
Scott	<i>5</i>	5.0 5.4	6	61	-	-	13	15	13	5	100
Webster	5	6.0	1	78	3	-	1	-	17	-	100
Winston	5	9.0	18	69	-	3	8	-	2	-	100
All Other	3	2.0	10	80	4	2	4	-	•	-	100
Total	105	140.5	12	69	3	1	4	2	6	3	100
			Auburn 56	Coker 100	Delta- pine	Dixie King	Empire	Rex	Stone- ville	Other <u>1</u> /	Total
						-	2	- 3	1	- 6	100 100
Amite	8	2.5	-	2 18	95 51		16	3	0		
Amite Copiah	8 5 7	4.4	-	18	51	-	16 25	_	_	2	100
Amite	5		-			-	16 25 6		-	2 1	100 100
Amite Copiah Covington	5 7	4.4 8.0	- - - -	18 35	51 38	-	25	- - 7	-		100 100
Amite Copiah Covington Jasper Jefferson Davis Jefferson	5 7 6 6 3	4.4 8.0 3.6 2.1 9.0	- - - -	18 35 20 10	51 38 73 70 98	-	25 6 13 -	-	-	1	100 100 100
Amite Copiah Covington Jasper Jefferson Davis Jefferson Lawrence	5 7 6 6 3 3	4.4 8.0 3.6 2.1 9.0 2.1	- - - -	18 35 20 10 -	51 38 73 70 98 77	-	25 6 13 - 7	- 7 2	- - - 8	1 - -	100 100 100 100
Amite Copiah Covington Jasper Jefferson Davis Jefferson Lawrence Lincoln	5 7 6 6 3 3	4.4 8.0 3.6 2.1 9.0 2.1 1.1	- - - - -	18 35 20 10 - 8 5	51 38 73 70 98 77 60	-	25 6 13 - 7 11	- - 7 2	- - - 8 24	1 - - -	100 100 100 100
Amite Copiah Covington Jasper Jefferson Davis Jefferson Lawrence Linooln Marion	5 7 6 6 3 3 3 5	4.4 8.0 3.6 2.1 9.0 2.1 1.1	- - - - -	18 35 20 10 - 8 5	51 38 73 70 98 77 60	-	25 6 13 - 7 11	- 7 2	- - 8 24 6	1 - -	100 100 100 100 100
Amite Copiah Covington Jasper Jefferson Davis Jefferson Lawrence Linooln Marion Simpson	5 7 6 6 3 3 5 5	4.4 8.0 3.6 2.1 9.0 2.1 1.1 4.6	- - - - - -	18 35 20 10 - 8 5 18	51 38 73 70 98 77 60 57	-	25 6 13 - 7 11	- 7 2	- - - 8 24	1 - - - 5	100 100 100 100
Amite Copiah Covington Jasper Jefferson Davis Jefferson Lawrence Linooln Marion	5 7 6 6 3 3 3 5	4.4 8.0 3.6 2.1 9.0 2.1 1.1	- - - - -	18 35 20 10 - 8 5	51 38 73 70 98 77 60	- - - - - - - - 1	25 6 13 - 7 11 14 16	- 7 2	- - 8 24 6 4	1 - - - - 5	100 100 100 100 100 100
Copiah Covington Jasper Jefferson Davis Jefferson Lawrence Linooln Marion Simpson Walthall	5 7 6 6 3 3 5 5	4.4 8.0 3.6 2.1 9.0 2.1 1.1 4.6 4.2	- - - - - - - 2	18 35 20 10 - 8 5 18 12 8	51 38 73 70 98 77 60 57 68 77	- - - - - - - - 1 2	25 6 13 - 7 11 14 16 5	- - 7 2 - - - -	- - 8 24 6 4 5	1 - - - 5 - 3	100 100 100 100 100 100 100

[•] Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 12. Tennessee: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1956-60

District and State	Ginnings	Delfos	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Empire	Fox	Rex	Stone- ville	Other	Total
	1,000									
	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1										
1956	479	1	66	-	17	5	-	6	5	100
1957	375	3	66	-	16	6	-	5	4	100
1958	390	2	67	-	19	4	-	4	4	100
1959	598	2	64	3	20	3	1	3	4	100
1960	-	2	35	22	17	6	8	4	6	100
District 2										
1956	48	-	26	-	45	3	_	4	22	100
1957	29	-	25	-	52	2	-	2	19	100
1958	21	-	26	-	57	3	-	-	14	100
1959	44	-	20	-	67	1	-	1	11	100
1960	-	•	25	3	43	4	8	4	13	100
State										
1956	527	1	63	_	20	5	_	6	5	100
1957	404	3	63	-	19	6	_	4	5	100
1958	411	2	63	-	22	4	_	4	5	100
1959	642	2	62	2	23	3	1	3	4	100
1960	_	2	35	21	19	6	8	4	5	100

Table 13. Tennessee: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1960.

District and County	Gins	1959 Ginnings	Delfos	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Empire	Fox	Rex	Stone- ville	Other	Total
		1,000									
	Number	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1					,			.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Carroll	14	25.1	1	37	17	40	-	-	1	4	100
Chester	6	11.9	-	50	10	-	1	28	4	7	100
Crockett	12	44.2	1	42	18	21	3	2	8	5	100
Dyer	17	43.0	8	7	51	8	2	7	15	2	100
Fayette	17	37.7	-	24	26	8	-	12	27	3	100
Gibson	20	65.6	-	33	7	46	3	6	1	4	100
Hardeman	12	23.2	-	66	11	18	-	2	1	2	100
Hardin	5	5.2	-	46	-	2	22	24	2	4	100
Haywood	19	50.6	10	31	37	8	1	7	-	6	100
Henderson	14	20.8	-	47	8	-	4	29	7	5	100
Henry	3	4.0	-	25	-	62	-	4	3	6	100
Lake	10	38.6	-	33	46	_	21	-	-	_	100
Lauderdale	19	50.0	3	32	20	11	7	11	-	16	100
McNairy	15	17.6	-	48	1	_	22	. 26	-	3	100
Madison	19	38.5	+	49	27	14	7	-	-	3	100
Obion	7	14.1	-	1	68	5	11	7	7	1	100
Shelby	19	40.0	-	47	7	8	12	11	-	15	100
Tipton	19	54.1	-	42	12	20	12	10	-	4	100
Weakley	5	10.5	-	13	7	65	-	3	5	7	100
All Other	3	3,1	-	59	2	3 7	-	-	-	2	100
Total	255	597.8	2	35	22	17	6	8	4	6	100
					DPL				Г		
			Coker 100WR	Delta- pine	Smooth Leaf	Empire	Fox	Pope	Stone- ville	Other	Total
District 2											
Giles	4	5.3	-	12	-	7 6	2	3	4	3	100
Lawrence	12	16.5	-	49	-	8	7	6	5	25	100
Lincoln	5	12.5	1	1	4	84	-	-	4	6	100
Rutherford	3	2,2	_	52	_	2	-	46	-	-	100
All Other	6	7.9	7	13	12	53	4	6	_	5	100
Total	30	44.4	2	25	3	43	4	6	4	13	100
TOURT	30	77.4	_	23	3	40	-	0	-	10	100

Table 14. Missouri: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, 1956-60.

Year	Ginnings	Auburn 56	Delfos	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Fox	Rex	Stone- ville	Other		Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
1956	447	_	29	33	-	21	-	13	4	_	100
1957	183	-	32	29	-	22	-	12	5	-	100
1958	285	1	36	30	-	22	•	8	3	-	100
1959	514	5	35	26	2	18	4	7	3	-	100
1960	-	4	25	16	9	26	13	6	1	-	100

Table 15. Missouri: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1960.

County	Gins	1959 Ginnings	Auburn 56	Delfos	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Fox	Rex	Stone- ville	Other	Total
Jounty	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Butler	10	20.0	1	16	40	1	9	14	19	-	100
Dunklin	35	113.4	13	30	10	4	15	16	9	3	100
Mississippi	14	41.3	8	11	11	3	43	20	1	3	100
New Madrid	39	132,5	1	41	11	7	29	4	6	1	100
Pemiscot	32	141.1	-	18	29	18	17	14	3	1	100
Scott	10	25.3	8	5	6	10	50	9	9	3	100
Stoddard	12	39.0	-	15	_	8	56	18	1	2	100
All Other	1	1.6	-	5	20	-	5	55	-	15	100
Total	153	514.2	4	25	· 16	9	26	13	6	1	100

[•] Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 16. Arkansas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1956-60.

District and State	Ginnings	Delfos	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Empire	Fox	Rex	Rowden	Stone- ville	Other	Total
	1,000	 				 	•	 			
	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1956	48	2	59	-	7	•	_	1	1	30	100
1957	22	•	56	-	6	•	-	1	2	35	100
1958	17	4	58	-	11	•	1	-	•	26	100
1959	33	-	52	-	6	5	18	-	•	19	100
1960	-	-	52	3	5	1	31	•	-	8	100
District 2											
1956	802	13	,73	-	5	4	_	•	3	2	100
1957	516	20	67	-	5	5	_	_	1	2	100
1958	515	16	60	-	6	5	6	_	4	3	100
1959	911	22	53	•	4	4	13	_	2	2	100
1960	-	18	29	10	3	5	31	_	2	2	100
District 3											
1956	92	2	7 0	_	2	1	_	8	10	7	100
1957	65	2	79	-	2	_	_	6	6	5	100
1958	49	3	85	_	1	1	•	2	3	5	100
1959	89	5	61	2	2	_	8	4	14	4	100
1960	_	4	51	12	2	•	15	1	9	6	100
District 4											
1956	457	18	66	-	2	2	_	•	8	4	100
1957	362	26	62	-	1	1	_	•	7	3	100
1958	343	25	66	-	•	1	2	-	3	3	100
1959	501	43	42	•	1	1	8	•	2	3	100
1960	-	26	40	11	•	3	13	-	2	5	100
State											
1956	1,399	13	69	-	4	3	•	1	5	5	100
1957	965	20	66	-	3	3	-	•	3	5	100
1958	924	18	63	-	4	3	4	•	3	5	100
1959	1,534	28	50	•	3	3	11	•	3	2	100
1960	-	19	34	11	2	4	24	•	2	4	100

Less than 0.5 percent.

Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 17. Arkansas: Estimated percentage of ootton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1960.

			1			1				
District	Gins	1959	Delta-	DPL Smooth	Empire	Fox	Hibred and	Rex	Other	Total
County	92113	Qinnings	pine	Leaf	Lampiro	Fox	Half & Half	Nex	1/	Total
		1,000							1	
	Number	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
istrict 1										
Faulkner	3	5.9	63	-	8	-	7	19	3	100
White	10	10.0	28	-	5	-	10	56	1	100
Yell	3	9.3	7 8	5	-	2	_	12	3	100
All Other	10	7.4	48	4	8	2	6	29	3	100
Total	26	32.6	52	3	5	1	6	31	2	100
				Delta-	DPL				Other	
			Delfos	pine	Smooth Leaf	Empire	Fox	Rex	1/	Total
istrict 2							+			
Clay	21	47.1	51	9	3	15	4	15	3	100
Craighead	32	112.1	50	14	5	-	-	24	7	100
Crittenden	44	104.2	-	52	9	2	7	28	2	100
Cross	21	42.6	2	19	18	-	6	55	-	100
Greene	15	41.3	30	11	1	8	3	39	8	100
Jackson	18	54.7	-	10	2	5	-	76	7	100
Lawrence	9	20.3	2	17	-	48	-	29	4	100
Mississippi	65	246.0	9	29	9	-	12	34	7	100
Poinsett	26	112.6	28	29	19	_	-	20	4	100
Randolph	7	13.4	18	20	8	9	_	44	1	100
St. Francis	29	78.3	18	51	11	1	2	1 5	2	100
Woodruff	1 3	39.6	-	48	38	-	-	12	2	100
Total	300	912.2	18	29	10	3	5	31	4	100
					nne.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			I	
			Delfos	Delta-	DPL Smooth	Empire	Rex	Stone-	Other	Total
				pine	Leaf			ville	1/	
istrict 3										
Ashley	10	33.6	-	49	15	_	7	21	8	100
Columbia	4	3.1	-	16	-	17	62		5	100
Drew	5	12.1	29	30	23	-	9	2	7	100
Hempstead	8	3.8	-	53	-	-	27	-	20	100
Lafayette	5	12.1	-	7 5	13	-	9	-	3	100
Little River	3	3.2	-	63	25	-	7	-	5	100
Miller	4	6.0	-	83	7	-	3	-	7	100
All Other	13	14.7	1	42	•	6	36	3	12	100
Total	52	88.6	4	51	12	2	15	9	7	100
				Delta-	DPL,			Stone-	Other	
			Delfos	pine	Smooth Leaf	Fox	Rex	ville	<u>1</u> /	Total
1striot 4										
Arkansas	6	9.5	-	60	7	4	20	4	5	100
Chicot	11	40.9	21	47	21	2	5	-	4	100
De sha	14	53.2	52	24	11	3	_	5	5	100
Jefferson	34	77.0	21	38	26	4	5	~	6	100
Lee	19	72.7	5	42	4	2	37	7	3	100
Lincoln	11	37.5	10	39	32	8	1	_	10	100
Loneke	21	63.0	86	5	4	-	1	-	4	100
Monroe	12	39.5	3	73	6	1	10	2	5	100
Phillips	39	90.5	8	57	1	4	23	2	5	100
Prairie	3	6.8	15	79	-	_	4	-	2	100
Pulaski	.12	10.3	51	14	2	-	31	•	2	100
		500.9	26	40			13	2	5	100
Total	182	300.9	20	40	11	3	13	2	3	100

[•] Less than 0.5 percent.

^{1/} Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 18. Louisiana: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1956-60

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Fox	Stone- ville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000	 						
	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1								
1956	103	1	96	-	•	•	3	100
1957	7 8	1	93	-	•	•	6	100
1958	72	-	95	-	-	-	5	100
1959	133	•	92	-	1	-	7	100
1960	-	2	84	5	•	•	9	100
District 2								
1956	15	6	86	-	•	•	8	100
1957	8	5	92	-	-	•	3	100
1958	3	5	87	-	-	-	8	100
1959	3	2	80	-	-	-	18	100
1960 .	-	7	58	-	-	-	35	100
District 3								
1956	286	•	95	-	2	•	3	100
1957	186	1	91		2	2	4	100
1958	153	2	92	•	1	2	3	100
1959	260	1	91	-	2	1	5	100
1960	•	-	82	11	2	2	3	100
District 4								
1956	166	•	90	-	2	7	1	100
1957	74	•	85	-	•	13	2	100
1958	65	1	87	-	-	11	1	100
1959	91	•	89	-	•	10	1	100
1960	-	1	90	•	1	7	1	100
State								
1956	570	1	94	-	1	2	2	100
1957	346	1	90	-	1	5	3	100
1958	293	1	92	-	1	3	3	100
1959	487	1	90	-	1	3	5	100
1960	de	1	84	7	1	2	5	100

[•] Less than 0.5 percent.

 $[\]underline{1}$ / Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 19. Louisiana: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by parishes and districts, crop of 1960.

District		1959	Auburn	Coker	Delta-	DPL			Other	
and Parish	Gins	Ginnings	56	100	pine	Smooth Leaf	Rex	Stardel	<u>1</u> /	Total
		1,000								
	Number	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
istrict l										
Bossier	8	22.4	-	-	73	6	3	16	2	100
Caddo	13	42.1	-	-	96	1	1	-	2	100
Natchitoches	10	22.8	4	2	73	16	-	-	5	100
Rap1des	12	27.6	-	-	86	2	2	1	9	100
Red River	5	10.5	-	14	82	4	-	-	-	100
All Other	4	7.1	14	•	77	8	1	•	•	100
Total	52	132.5	2	2	84	5	1	3	3	100
				T	T		Т			
			Auburn 56	Coker 100	Delta- pine	Empire	Rex	Other		Total_
				100	Pine			1/		
istrict 2										
Claiborne	3	.9	1	6	91	-	1	1	-	100
All Other	5	2.4	5	7	45	9	34	-	~	100 -
Total	8	3.3	4	7	58	6	25	•	_	100
••••										
				Delta-	DPL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T	Stone-	Other	
			Delfos	pine	Smooth Leaf	Fox	Rex	ville	1/	Total
istrict 3										
Catahoula	5	9.8	-	94	-	1	-	2	3	100
Concordia	5	9.3	-	85	-	-	3	10	2	100
East Carroll	10	33.6	2	36	50	5	-	5	2	100
Franklin	19	42.8	-	87	5	-	2	4	2	100
Madison	7	18.1	_	94	-	3	_	-	3	100
Morehouse	11	36.4	_	78	17	-	_	1	4	100
Ouachita	5	17.0	_	92	1	6	_	_	1	100
Richland	17	43.4	•	96		_	_	_	4	100
Tensas	7	20.0	_	86	13	_	_	_	1	100
West Carroll	11	24.7		92	1	2	1	2	2	100
All Other	2	5.2		68	_	27		_	5	100
ALL OUNCE										
Total	99	260.3	•	82	11	2	1	2	2	100
			Coker	Delta-	DPL			Stone-	Other	
			100	pine	Smooth Leaf	Fox	Plains	ville	1/	Total
lstrict 4										
Acadia	4	5.1	-	97	2	-	-	1	-	100
Avoyelles	13	18.5	-	92	-	1	-	5	2	100
Evangeline	12	12.7	-	99	•		-	•	1	100
Lafayette	11	12,5	-	98				2	-	100
Pointe Coupee		4.7	_	79				15	6	100
St. Landry	26	25.8		87		2	1	10	-	100
St. Martin	4	3.8	-	50		2	8	40	-	100
					•	-		12	3	100
Washington	4	2.0	20	59	•		6		3	
All Other	4	5.7	1	97	-	•	-	2	•	100
Total	84	90.8	1	90	•	1	1	7	•	100

[•] Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 20. Oklahoma: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1956-60.

District and State	Ginnings	Acala	Delta- pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lookett	Northern Star	Parrot	Stone- ville	Western Stormproof	Other	Total
	1,000						_	_				
District 1	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
1956	203	-	•	3	49	7	24	3	1	5	8	100
1957	214	•	•	1	490	6	17	7	•	9	11	100
1958	250	1	-	2	5 9	2	13	7	1	10	5	100
1959	295	5	•	•	54	2	10	8	•	16	5	100
1960	-	1	1	•	48	2	21	7	•	16	4	100
District 2												
1956	31	•	23	1	6	-	7	3	50	-	10	100
1957	16	•	27	2	6	•	4	2	49	-	10	100
1958	22	•	40	3	13	-	5	2	37	-	•	100
1959	38	-	34	2	6	-	3	8	42	•	5	100
1960	-	-	27	•	11	-	8	12	37	•	5	100
District 3												
1956	26	-	21	6	32	1	9	2	23	-	6	100
1957	29	•	35	8	25	1	3	5	19	_	4	100
1958	36	-	22	9	36	3	3	9	16	•	2	100
1959	46	2	17	7	35	4	2	13	15	2	3	100
1960	-	2	14	1	33	12	7	21	6	-	4	100
State												
1956	260	•	7	3	40	5	19	3	12	4	7	100
1957	259	•	7	3	41	5	14	6	8	7	9	100
1958	308	1	5	2	53	2	11	7	5	8	6	100
1959	379	5	5	1	48	2	8	9	5	13	4	100
1960	-	1	5	•	42	3	18	9	5	12	5	100

[•] Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 21. Oklahoma: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1960.

District		1050										
and County	@ins	1959 Ginnings	Acala	Delta- pine	Lankart	Lockett	Northern Star	Parrot	Western Stormproof	Other 1/		Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percen
istrict 1												
Beckham	12	43.7	-	-	15	4	3	1	7 3	4	-	100
Blaine	6	6.5	1	-	24	3	56	5	7	4	-	100
Caddo	16	29.1	-	2	58	-	15	19	-	6	-	100
Comanche	3	2.5	-	-	15	3	51	26	-	5	-	100
Cotton	3	5.9	-	-	10	5	10	7 0	-	5	-	100
Custer	4	10.8	4	-	76	3	11	_	3	3	-	100
Greer	9	20.2	-	-	60	4	11	7	17	1	-	100
Harmon	7	25,8	7	-	32	1	54	-	6	7	-	100
Jackson	16	43.6	4	2	32	1	55	1	2	3	-	100
Klowa	9	25.2	-	1	80 14	- 14	17 2	1	- 65	1	-	100
Roger Mills	3 13	7.4 36.0	2		63	2	10	19		5 4	-	100
Tillman Washita	12	33.9	-	_	82	2	3	19	- 7	5	-	100
All Other	2	33.5	_	_	30	15	5	5	35	10	_	100
Total	115	294.1	1	1	48	2	21	7	16	4	-	100
			Delta-	Hibred and	Lankart	Northern	Parret	Stone-	Western	Other		Total
			pine	Half & Half		Star		ville	Stormproof	<u>1</u> ∕		_
istrict 2												
Canadian	3	4.2		-	44	3	28	17	3	5	-	100
Hughes	3	1.6	10	-	-	-	-	85	-	5	-	100
Logan	3	1.2	17	-	24	-	2	52	-	5	-	100
McIntosh	5	3.9	4	1	-	42	6	42	-	5	-	100
Muskogee	10	11.0	45	-	1	4	10	35	-	5	-	100
Pittsburg	3	2.1	33	-	-	-	4	58	-	5	-	100
Wagoner	4	3.7	74	-	4	6	2	9	-	5	-	100
All Other	13	10.7	13	-	16	4	18	44	-	5	-	100
Total	44	38.4	27	•	11	8	12	37	•	5	-	100
				Delta-	Hibred	•)		Northern	Day of	Stone-	Other	Pr-1.
			Acala	pine	and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett	Star	Parrot	ville	1/	Tetal
istrict 3												
Bryan	5	7.5	11	_	-	26	5		50	-	8	100
Garvin	3	4.0	-	10	-	6	3	18	13	46	4	100
Grady	8	10.7	-	1	-	86		-	8	-	5	100
Jefferson	5	4.7	-	-	2	15	25	23	29	2	4	100
All Other	12	19.2	-	32	2	15	20	7	16	3	5	100
mot n3	33	46.7	2	14	1	22	12	7	21	6	4	100
Total	3 3	46.1	4	14	1	33	12	/	21	0	*	100

[•] Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 22. Texas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1956-60.

District		District 1 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	District 2 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	District 3 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	District 4 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	District 5 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	District 6 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	District 7 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	District 8 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	District 9 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	District 10 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	State 1956 1957 1958 1959
Girnings	1,000 bales	1,655 1,572 1,999 1,897	273 476 536 599	9 115 12 12	350 411 418 473	155 137 96 124	299 283 301 291	7 16 29 50 -	212 231 231 265 303	153 147 151 151	442 313 437 503	3,555 3,601 4,243 4,403
Acala	Percent	W 4 4 10 10	иииюн	1 4 1 4 1	• ਜਜ • •	п≀нн•	93 85 87 81	3 10 10 S	• N I • •	* H H W *	N H 10 4 N	8 0 0 0 8
American Egyptian	Percent	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1111	1111	4 10 11 17	1 1 1 1 1	1111	i 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	•
Blight	Percent	. W u e u	11164	।।•ला	1 1 d • •	11010	1 1 1 1 1			1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	
Delfos	Percent	1 1 1 1 1	• 1 1 1 •	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	P 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	23 15 18 18 12 12	ਜਜ਼⇒ਜਜ	89797	00000
Delta- pine	Percent	8 4 4 4 1	r 4 n n 1	H Ø I • I	ωμωνω	19 8 8 8 10 10 8 8 8 10	• • ল • ল	• 1 1 • 1	17 22 16 14	81 41 82 80	2 % & % %	11 12 12 13
Empire	Percent P	ฅฅฅ♥⊣	el • • 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	• • , 1 1 1	ононн	11411	וחווו		ω + H Ν ●	D O O 4 M	
Gregg	Percent P	2 10 18	1 1 • e •	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1			।।⊣ଏଠ
ankart Lo	ercent Pe	55 51 52 52 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53	7 7 7 7 8 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8	26 26 52 53 54 54 55	5 4 8 9 6	8 11 8 1 1 4 1 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		8 8 2 8 8	24, 24, 28 30	0 4 4 N ®	2 7 7 7 7	31 36 36 36
Lockett	Percent Pe	₩ 4 N • ∺	o o 4 4 N	8 11 15 12	• • • • 0			1 1 1 1 1	• 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1	ппппп
Northern Star ma	Percent Per	11 6 9 3	17 21 17 10 13	17 13 7 2 3	11 22 22 22 23	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 • 1 1 1	4 N W • •	1. 1. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.		1 3 1 2 2	o ト o 4 iv
Pay- Qu	Percent Per	11 17 12 5	1555	1 4 1 1 1		• .	• 1 • 1 1		1 1 1 1 •	1 1 1 1 1	• • • · · ·	4 to 4 to 0
Qualla Ro	Percent Per	1101		11 6 4 4 4	9 11 8 8	4 L N 00 4		10 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	010140	• • 1 • 1	N - 1 - 1	пиии
Rowden v11	Percent Perc		e i • t i	1 1 1 1 1	4 0 0 T •	4 4 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1	n a . • .	10 4 4 1	ппппп	H H • • •	∀ ппп•
Stone- Stu	1				• • • 1 1	el • • • •	e e e • •		иммма	0 1 0 1 0	13 16 17 25 ·	22264
Storm We	Percent Per	1100+	1 1 4 W 4	11170	• - 0 - 0 - 0	* 1 m * *	1 1 1 1 1	d d	• • 4 70	11814	1 • 0 4 4	122.
Western	Percent P.	40 KN K4 KN	8 8 3 18 8 8 8 3 18 8	21 - 11 - 2		1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	45 73 33 45 75	1 1 1 1 1	(1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	4 M Ø B L
Other 1/	1	8 11 11 10	17 10 0 0	28 35 24 11	0 11 9 9	11 12 15 13 13	1 2 2 2 2	14 9 10 15	11 9 12 11 16	5 112 5 6	2 1 2 4 1 7	9 11 8 8 11
Total	Percent	1000	8 8 8 8 8	8 8 8 8 8	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	100 100 100 100 100	000 000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000 000 000 100 000 100 000 100 000 100 000 100	100 100 100 100 100	1000

Less than 0.5 percent,
 Includes small agreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 23. Texas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1960.

			_											
District		1959	Acala	Anton	Blight				Northern	Pay-		Western	Other	
and	@ins	Ginnings	1517	99	Master	Gregg	Lankart	Leckett	Star	master	Rex	Stermproof	1/	Total
County		1,000	-	 	1		ļ		ļ		ļ	 		
	Number	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1	Number	pares	rerdent	rercent	reroent	rercent	Ferdent	rerdent	rerdent	rercent	rercent	rercent	rercent	Fercenc
Bailey	21	97.2	_	1	_	36	38	2	12	4		-	7	100
Briscoe	5	20.3	-	-	-	37	18	3	17	19	-	4	2	100
Castro	14	33.7	_	_		73	10	4	-	8	-	-	5	100
Cochran	13	63.6	-	_	-	58	37	2	-	-	-	•	3	100
Crosby	20	112.1	-	-	2	-	82	-	4	5	-	1	6	100
Dawson	25	138,5	14	-	5	4	30	-	1	2	10	18	16	100
Deaf Smith	3	9.0	-	-	-	84	5	10	-	-	-	-	1	100
Floyd	22	123.7		-	1	22	51	-	1	20	-	-	5	100
Gaines	10	48.8	17	-	7	-	25	-	1	2	5	-	43	100
Hale	34 35	179.2	- 1	1 7	1	31 3	46 74	1	3	9	-	-	8	100
Hockley Howard	12	180.0 38.7	6	/	8	3	-	-	_	1	-	- 77	11 9	100 100
Lamb	34	174.7	-	1	-	60	21	8	3	5		- "	2	100
Lubbock	40	211.2			_	-	91	_	-	_		_	9	100
Lynn	26	128.7	-	-	2	-	80	2	1	1	1	5	8	100
Martin	13	66.8	48	-	-	1	4	-	2	-	-	38	7	100
Midland	3	14.8	40	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	27	27	100
Parmer	15	40.9	-	-	-	55	3	2	11	18	-	-	11	100
Swisher	11	41.4	-	-	-	34	28	-	-	25	10		3	100
Terry	20	132,4	7	1	2	-	72	-	-	3	13	-	2	100
Ya akum	6	31.8	6	-	-	-	85		-	5	-		4	100
All Other	206	9.6	50	-	-	4	6	1	12	1	4	17	5	100
Total	360	1,897.1	5	1	1	18	51	1	3	5	2	5	8	100
			1002	D-34				Nont'	P		24	West	041	
			Acala 1517	Delta-	Gregg	Lankart	Lockett	Northern	Pay-	Qualla	Storm	Western	Other	Total
			1517	pine				Star	master		King	Stormproof	1/	
District 2														
Baylor	3	7.5	17	5	-	36	-	_	•	-	-	34	8	100
Childress Coleman	5 3	19.8	-	3	-	36 30	2	9	-	-	-	46	4	100
Collingsworth	3	7.0 36.8	-	-	34	10	8	12 17		7	-	46 2 7	5 4	100
Cottle	6	17.5		_	2	15	3	76	_			3	1	100
Dickens	8	27.8		_	4	58	_	9	2	_		16	11	100
Donley	4	11.0	_	_	12	4	24	12	5	_	_	38	5	100
Fisher	9	42.1	-	_	-	2	_	-	_	-	_	88	10	100
Foard	4	5.9	-	-	-	32	30	26	-	2	6	2	2	100
@arza	6	18.0	-	-	-	26	-	-	-	-	-	60	14	100
Hall	14	46.2	-	-	6	10	12	33	10	-	-	24	5	100
Hardeman	7	15.7	8	-	2	38	13	36	-	-	-	3	-	100
Haskell	12	58.6	-	3	-	21	•	28	-	1	23	17	7	100
Jones	16	58.0	-	-	~	72	-	2	-	1	1	16	8	100
Knex	7	27.0	12	-	-	25	-	2	-	-	25	27	9	100
Mitchell	9 5	29.9	-	-	-	5	-	2	-	4	-	81	8	100
Motley Nolan	6	12.8 17.0	-	-	-	50 30	5	29	7	- 5	•	-	9	100
Runnels	14	39,4	2	_	_	38	-	1	_	5 5	-	60 47	5 7	100
Scurry	9	33.0	2	-	_	8		2	_	3		76	9	100
Stonewall	3	5.8	1	_		58	_	-		2	_	31	8	100
Taylor	6	11.5		-	_	37	_	-	_	11		42	10	100
Wheeler	6	13.7	-	-	-	28	14	15	9	-	_	30	4	100
Wilbarger	10	24.1	1	1	8	37	31	12	-	-	-	7	3	100
All Other	6	13.0	-	-	4	22	8	26	-	-	-	35	5	100
Total	187	599.1	1	1	4	28	5	13	1	1	4	36	6	100
			Hibred							<u> </u>		т т		
			& Half &	Lankart	Lockett	Northern	Qualla	Storm	Western	Other		1		mo+ol
			Half	Jana v	Docuete	Star	Angria	King	Stormproof	1/				Total
District 3			-1											
Clay	4	1.9		45	32	-			_	23				100
All Other	13	10.1	1	52	7	4	•	3	- 25	8	-		-	100
Tetal	17	12.0	1	52	11	3	•	2	21	10		-		100
			Anton	Dalta-	Hibred			Northern				Storm	Other	
			99	pine	& Half &	Lankart	Lockett	Star	Qualla	Rex	Rowden	King	1/	Total
District 4					Half									
Bell	18	21.6	10	-		70	-	10	2			_	8	100
Collin	21	41.4	-	-		7 0	11	14	1	1		_	3	100
Cooke	3	2.9	-	-	-	24	21	51	2				2	100
Coryell	4	6.8	-	-	-	50	-	30	5	-	-	-	15	100
Dallas	5	8.5	-		•	94	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	100
Delta	8	16.5	-	40	-	37	-	15	-	5	3	-	-	100
Denton	3	3.4	-	-	•	70	-	26	-	-	-	-	4	100
Ellis Falls	29 16	49.3	- 5	-	-	75	-	17	3	-	-	4	1	100
Fannin	16	22.0 21.3		25	•	53 75	-	5	-	-	-	2	10	100
Grayson	7	9.4	-		-	75	- 22	20	2	1	•	-	2	100
H111	26	34.8	1	•		44 88	22	32 8	2	-	-	-	2	100
Hunt	18	32.9	-			75	-	10	3	1	-	6	1 5	100
Johnson	7	11.7		-		96		2	1	1	•	1	5	100
Kaufman	12	20.3		6	-	70	-	9	4	-		4	- 7	100
Lamar	12	16.9	-	2		42	-	8	12	36			_	100
Limestone	7	7.0	4	-	4	28	4	31	8			-	21	100
McLsnnan	26	32.5	-	-	-	60	-	12	12				16	100
Milam	13	15,9	7	3	-	59	-	7	2	-	-	14	8	100
Navarro	18	19.0	•	-	-	84	-	7	1	•		8	-	100
				4	-	40	-	-	13	-	-	32	11	100
Rookwall	5	10.1	-											
Rockwall Tarrant	5	7.3	-	11	-	7 2	-	8	4	-	1	2	2	100
Rockwall Tarrant Williamson	5 31	7.3 54.5	- 12	11	-	5 5	-	12	11	-	1 -	2	2 9	100 100
Rockwall Tarrant Williamson All Othsr	5 31 4	7.3 54.5 7.0	- 12 -	11	:	55 80	-	12 4	11 8	-	-	2 1 -	2 9 8	100 100 100
Rockwall Tarrant Williamson	5 31 4 314	7.3 54.5	- 12	11	-	5 5	-	12	11	- - - 2	1 - -	2	2 9	100 100

[•] Lses than 0.5 percent.

L/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 23. Texas: Estimated percentags of cotton acreage planted to specified varisties, by countise and districts, crop of 1960 - continued.

District	4	1959	Acala	Delta-	Front -	Hibred	Lenkari	Northern	0-11			Stone-	Other	
and County	Gins	Ginnings	1517	pine	Empire	and Half & Half	Lankart	Star	Qualla	Rsx	Rowden	∀ille	1/	Total
	W	1,000		Percent		7	2							
District 5	Number	bales	Percent	Percent	Perosnt	Perosnt	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Perosnt
Bowie	3	6.0	-	99	1	-5	-	-	-			,-	-	100
Brazes Cherokes	6	18.5	-	99 5		20	73			1	-	-	1	100
Freestone	3	3.5		-	-	10	1	69	20	-	-	-	-	100
Grimes	6	10.1		75	-	1	-	14	3	1 -	-	-	8	100
Harrison Hopkins	4	2.0 5.9	1	97	-	-	90		10			-	1 -	100 100
Houston	6	8.2	-	53	-	3	3	-	18	8	-	-	15	100
Leon	3	3.1 5.8	-	71 4	-	-	- 45	- 6	2 10	4	16	-	7	100
Red River Robertson	5	28.0	15. (92	-		45	-	10	35	-	_	- 8	100
Rusk	3	2.5		_ 54	-	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Shelby Smith	3	1.3	-	54 40	-	8 36	-	38 24	1	-	-	-	-	100
Van Zandt	4	5.0		-	1	-	73	-	7	_	2	-	18	100
Walker	3	1.2	-	40	-	-	-	•	5		-	-	55	100
Waller All Other	3 22	3.1 17.3	_	81 39	4	7	18	5	1	12	1	1	7 22	100
Total	93	124.5	•	61	1	3	14	5	4	3	1	•	8	100
					1									7
			Acala	Aoala	Aoala	Coker	Delta-	Masa	Pima	Stone-	Other			Total
			44	1517B	15170	Wilds	pine	Aoala	5-1	ville	1/			
District 6 El Paso	22	86.7	2	2	66				32		_			100
Hudspeth	6	33.4	-	_	95		-		5	-			-	100
Pecos	9	47.4	-	28	69	-	1	DC -	7.4	:	2	-	-	100
Reeves All Other	22	104.9	-	25 38	58 54		2 5	1	14	•	1 2		1.	100 100
Total	63	291.4	1	15	65	•	1	•	17	•	1	-	-	100
			Acala 1517	Lankart	Northern Star	Qualla	Westsrn Stormproof	Other						Total
District 7								-			-			
MoCulloch	5.	5.4	-	-	3	2	89	6	-	-	-	-	-	100
Tom Grasn	7	28.5 15.7	5 5	36 27	-	7 5	44 56	8	-	-	-	-	-	100 100
All Other Total	18	49.6	5	29		6	52	8	11 -00	0.00				100
										1			-	
			Anton	Delfos	Delta-	Empire	Lankart	Northern	Qualla	Rowden	Stone-	Storm	Other	Total
					pine			Star			vills	King	1/	
District 8	12													
		11.9		-	66	1		4	5	11	2	_	11	100
Bastrop	3	11.9	34	-	66 10	1 -	33	4	5 15	11	2 -	-	11 8	100
Bastrop Bas	3	4.1 6.2	34	2	10		33 42	:	15 8		- 8	- - 27	8 13	100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson	3	4.1 6.2 22.7	34	- 2 -			33 42 -		15 8 -		-	- 27 -	8	100
Bastrop Bas Burleson Caldwell Colorado	3 4 9 6 3	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0	34 - - 67 9		10 - 95 - 37	-	33 42 - 2 9	- - 1 -	15 8 - 11		8 -	-	8 13 4 20 7	100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt	3 4 9 6 3 4	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3	34 - - 67 9 1	120	10 - 95 - 37 2	- - - 6	33 42 - 2 9 55	1	15 8 - 11 -	:	8 - - 32	:	8 13 4 20 7 10	100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bas Burleson Caldwell Colorado	3 4 9 6 3	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0	34 - - 67 9	120	10 - 95 - 37	- - - - 6	33 42 - 2 9	- - 1 -	15 8 - 11		8 - 32	:	8 13 4 20 7	100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bes Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4	34 - - 67 9 1 15 - 37	:	10 - 95 - 37 2 10	6 - 3	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43	1 - 22	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71	:	32 - 1	- - 3 - 8	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0	34 - - 67 9 1 15 - 37 5	120	10 - 95 - 37 2 10 -	6 - 3	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62	- - 1 -	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 -	:	8 - - 32	- - - 3	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6	34 - - 67 9 1 15 - 37		10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - 1 4 6	- - - 6 - 3 - - 8	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25	22 6	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71	1	32 - 1 - 4	- - - 3 - 8	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bas Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Nusces	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5	34 - - 67 9 1 15 - 37 5 4 4	-	10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - - 1 4 6	- - - 6 - 3 - - - 8 - - 1	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5	22 6 20	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7	1 4	32 - 1 - - 4 - 20	3 8 11 5	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6	34 - - 67 9 1 15 - 37 5 4		10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - 1 4 6	- - - 6 - 3 - - 8	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25	22 6	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7	1 4	32 - 1 - 4	- - - 3 - 8	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Musces Refugio San Patricio Travis	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4	34 - - 67 9 1 15 - 37 5 4 4 - -		10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - 1 4 6 1 19 1 8	- - - 6 - 3 - - - 8 - 1	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 5 24 78 20	1 - - 22 - - 4 - 6 20 29 5	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - 8	1 - 4 6	32 - 1 - - 4 - 20 22	3 3 8 11 5	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Nusces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8	34 - - 67 9 1 15 - 37 5 4 4 - - 43	44	10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - - 1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39	- - - 6 - 3 - - - 8 - 1	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4	1 - - 22 - - 4 - 6 20 29 5 - 4	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - - 8 20	1 - 4 6 4	32 - 1 - - 4 - 20 22 4	3 - 8 11 5 - - 3 2	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Musces Refugio San Patricio Travis	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4	34 - - 67 9 1 15 - 37 5 4 4 - -		10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - 1 4 6 1 19 1 8	66 33 88 11	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 5 24 78 20	1 - - 22 - - 4 - 6 20 29 5	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - 8	1 - 4 6	32 - 1 - 4 - 20 22 4	3 3 8 11 5	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bas Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Nusces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3	34 - - 67 9 1 15 - 37 5 4 4 - - - 43	44	10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - - 1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39 14 15	- - - - - - 3 - - - 8 - - 1	33 42 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4	1 - 22 - - 6 20 29 5 - 4 - 8	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - - 8 20 4	1 - 4 6 4 16	32 - 1 - 4 - 20 22 4 - 8	3 8 11 5 - - 3 2 2	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bas Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Nusces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3	34 - - 67 9 1 15 - 37 5 4 4 - - - 43	44	10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - - 1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39	- - - - - - 3 - - - 8 - - 1	33 42 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4	1 - 22 - - 4 - 6 20 29 5	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - - 8 20 4	1 - 4 6 4 16	32 - 1 - - - 4 - - 20 22 4	3 - 8 11 5 - - 3 2	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bas Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Nusces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3	34 - - 67 9 1 15 - 37 5 4 4 - - 43 - 6	444-33-12	10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - - 1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39 14 15	66 33 88 11 1	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 5 24 78 20 4 11 30	1 - 22 - 4 - 6 20 29 5 - 4 - 8	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - 8 20 4 6	1 - 4 6 4 16 1	32 - 1 - 20 22 4 - 8	3 - 8 11 5 3 2 2 2 2	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Nusces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other Total District 9 Brazoria	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4 163	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3 302.7	34 - - 67 9 1 15 - 37 5 4 4 - - 43 - 6	44 - 3 - 12 Delfos	10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - 1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39 14 15	66 33 88 11 1	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 5 24 78 20 4 11 30	1 - 22 - 4 - 6 20 29 5 - 4 - 8	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - 8 20 4 6	1 - 4 6 4 16 1	32 - 1 - 20 22 4 - 8	3 - 8 11 5 3 2 2 2 2	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11 Other 1/	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Nusces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other Total District 9 Brazoria Calhoun	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4 163	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3 302.7	34 	44 -3 -12 Delfos	10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - 1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39 14 15	66 33 88 11 1	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4 11 30 Lankart	1 - - 22 - - 4 - 6 20 29 5 - 4 - 8	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - 8 20 4 6	1 - 4 6 4 16 1 Rowden	32 	3 - 8 11 5 3 2 2 2 2 Sterm King	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11 Other 1/	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Nusces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other Total District 9 Brazoria	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4 163	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3 302.7	34 	44 - 3 - 12 Delfos	10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - 1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39 14 15		33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4 11 30	1 22 4 - 6 20 29 5 - 4 - 8 Northern Star	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - - 8 20 4 6	1 4 6 4 16 1 Rowden	32 - 1 - - 4 - 20 22 4 - - 8	3 - 8 11 5 3 2 2 2 2 Sterm King	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11 Other 1/	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bas Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Nusces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other Total District 9 Brazoria Calhoun Fort Bend Jackson Matagorda	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4 163	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3 302.7	34 	12 Delfos	10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - - 1 4 6 1 1 9 1 8 39 14 15 Delta- plne	66 33 88 11 11 Empire	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4 11 30 Lankart	1 22 4 - 6 20 29 5 - 4 - 8 Northern Star	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - 8 20 4 6	1 - 4 6 4 16 1 Rowden	32 	3 - 8 11 5 3 2 2 2 2 Sterm King	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11 Other 1/	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Nusces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other Total District 9 Brazoria Calhoun Fort Bend Jackson Matagorda Victoria	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4 163	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3 302.7	34 		10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - 1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39 14 15 Delta- plne		33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4 11 30	1 - - 22 - - 4 - 6 20 29 5 - 4 - 8	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - - 8 20 4 6	1 - 4 6 4 16 1 Rowden	32 	3 - 8 11 5 3 2 2 2 2 Sterm King	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11 Other 1/	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bas Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Nusces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other Total District 9 Brazoria Calhoun Fort Bend Jackson Matagorda	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4 163	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3 302.7	34 		10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - 1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39 14 15 Delta- pine 85 95 84 85 87 5 91 75		33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4 11 30 Lankart	22	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - 8 20 4 6		32 	3 - 8 11 5 3 2 - 2 2 2 Sterm King	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11 Other 1/ 6 5 8 12 5 5 5	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Musces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other Total District 9 Brazoria Calhoun Fort Bend Jackson Matagorda Victoria Wharton	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4 163	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3 302.7	34 	12 Delfos	10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - 1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39 14 15	66 33 88 11 1	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4 11 30 Lankart	22	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - 8 20 4 6	1 - 4 6 4 16 1 Rowden	32 - 1 - 4 - 20 22 4 - 8 Stons- ville 4 - 2	3 - 8 11 5 3 2 2 2 2 Sterm King	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11 Other 1/ 6 5 8 12 5 2 5	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Musces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other Total District 9 Brazoria Calhoun Fort Bend Jackson Matagorda Victoria Wharton All Other	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4 163	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3 302.7	34 	12 Delfos	10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - 1 4 6 1 1 9 1 8 39 14 15 Delta- pine 85 95 84 85 87 5 91 75 80		33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4 11 30 Lankart	22	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - 8 20 4 6 6 Rex		32 	3 - 8 11 5 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 •	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11 Other 1/ 6 5 8 12 5 6	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Musces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other Total District 9 Brazoria Calhoun Fort Bend Jackson Matagorda Victoria Wharton All Other	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4 163	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3 302.7	34 		10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - 1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39 14 15 Delta- pine 85 95 84 85 87 5 91 75		33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4 11 30 Lankart	22	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - 8 20 4 6		32 	3 - 8 11 5 3 2 - 2 2 2 Sterm King	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11 Other 1/ 6 5 8 12 5 5 5	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Musces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other Total District 9 Brazoria Calhoun Fort Bend Jackson Matagorda Victoria Wharton All Other	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4 163	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3 302.7	34 	12 Delfos	10 -95 -37 2 10 1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39 14 15 Delta- pine 85 95 84 85 87 5 91 75 80	66 33 88 11 11 10 •	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4 11 30 Lankart 5 - 1 1 - 75 - 8	22	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - 8 20 4 6 6 Rex		32 1 4 - 20 22 4 8 Stons- ville 4 - 2 - 5 - 4 - 2 Stone- ville	3 3 3 3	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11 Other 1/	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Nusces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other Total District 9 Brazoria Calhoun Fort Bend Jackson Matagorda Victoria Wharton All Other Total	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4 163	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3 302.7	34 	Delfos Delfos	10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - 1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39 14 15 Delta- pine 85 95 84 85 87 5 91 75 80	66-33	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4 11 30 Lankart 5 - 1 1 - 75 - 8	1 22 4 - 6 20 29 5 - 4 - 8 Northern Star 17 - 2 Northern Star 1	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - - 8 20 4 6		32 - 1 - 20 22 4 - 8 Stons- ville 4 - 2 Stone- ville 21	3 - 8 11 5 2 2 2 Sterm King - 2	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 17 53 11 Other 1/ 6 5 6 Other 1/ 6 6	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Musces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other Total District 9 Brazoria Calhoun Fort Bend Jackson Matagorda Victoria Wharton All Other Total	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4 163	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3 302.7	34	12 Delfos	10 -95 -37 2 10 1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39 14 15 Delta- pine.		33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4 11 30 Lankart 5 - 1 1 - 75 - 8	22	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - 8 20 4 6 Rex		32 1 4 - 20 22 4 8 Stons- ville 4 - 2 - 5 - 4 - 2 Stone- ville	3 3 3 3	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11 Other 1/	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Musces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other Total District 9 Brazoria Calhoun Fort Bend Jackson Matagorda Victoria Wharton All Other Total District 10 Camsren Frio Hdalgo Jim Wells	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4 163	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3 302.7	34	Delfos 14	10 - 95 - 37 2 10 - 1 4 6 1 1 9 1 8 39 14 15 Delta- pine 85 95 84 85 87 5 91 75 80 Delta- pine	66666666666666666666666666666666666666	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4 11 30 Lankart 5 - 1 1 - 75 - 8 Lankart	22	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - 8 20 4 6 Fex	1	32	3 - 8 11 5 2 2 2 Sterm King Storm King 5 1 3	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11 Other 1/ 6 5 8 12 5 6 Other 1/ 6 8 6 6 6	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Musces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other Total District 9 Brazoria Calhoun Fort Bend Jackson Matagorda Victoria Wharton All Other Total District 10 Camsren Frio Hidalgo Jim Wells Livs Oak	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4 163	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3 302.7	34	Delfos 14 -7 -7 -3	10 -95 -37 2 10 1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39 14 15 Delta- pine 85 87 5 91 75 80 Delta- pine	66-33	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4 11 30 Lankart 5 - 1 1 - 75 - 8 Lankart	22	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - 8 20 4 6 Rex	1 4 6 6 1 Rowden 2 10 1 Rex	32	3 - 8 11 5 2 2 2 2 Sterm King Storm King 5 1	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11 Other 1/ 6 5 6 Other 1/ 6 8 6	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Musces Refugio Sam Patricio Travis Washington All Other Total District 9 Brazoria Calhoun Fort Bend Jackson Matagorda Victoria Wharton All Other Total District 10 Camsren Frio Hidalgo Jim Wells Livs Oak Starr Willacy	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4 163	9.1 11.6 4.7 4.8 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3 302.7	34	Delfos Delfos 14 -7 -7 -3111	10 -95 -37 2 10 -1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39 14 15 Delta- pine 85 95 84 85 87 5 91 75 80 Delta- pine	66-33	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4 11 30 Lankart 5 - 1 1 - 75 - 8 Lankart	22	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - 8 20 4 6 Fex		32 - 1 - 20 22 4 - 8 Stons- ville 4 - 2 Stone- ville 21 - 39 14	3 3 3 - 8 11 5 2 2 2 Sterm King	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11 Other 1/ 6 8 6 6 30 8 3	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Musces Refugio San Patricio Travis Washington All Other Total District 9 Brazoria Calhoun Fort Bend Jackson Matagorda Victoria Wharton All Other Total District 10 Camsren Frio Hidalgo Jim Wells Livs Oak Starr Willacy Zavala	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4 163	4.1 6.2 22.7 10.2 8.0 4.3 14.4 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3 302.7 9.1 11.6 43.7 11.2 9.7 14.8 47.2 3.5 150.8	34	Delfos 14 -7 3 -7 3 -7 -7 -7 -7 -7 -7 -7 -7 -7 -7 -7 -7 -7	10 -95 -37 2 10 -1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39 14 15 Delta- pine 85 87 5 91 75 80 Delta- pine	66-33	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4 11 30 Lankart 5 - 1 1 - 75 - 8 Lankart	22	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - 8 20 4 6 6 Rex - 1 1 1		32	3 - 8 11 5 2 2 2 Sterm King Storm King Storm King 5 - 1 3 3 25 11	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11 Other 1/ 6 8 12 5 5 6 Other 1/ 6 8 6 6 30 8 3 29	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Bastrop Bss Burleson Caldwell Colorado Ds Witt Faystts Guadulupe Hays Karnes Lavaca Lee Musces Refugio Sam Patricio Travis Washington All Other Total District 9 Brazoria Calhoun Fort Bend Jackson Matagorda Victoria Wharton All Other Total District 10 Camsren Frio Hidalgo Jim Wells Livs Oak Starr Willacy	3 4 9 6 3 4 12 5 4 6 11 3 29 4 22 10 12 4 163	9.1 11.6 4.7 4.8 7.4 5.4 9.0 18.7 4.6 74.5 8.2 63.6 11.4 10.8 7.3 302.7	34	Delfos Delfos 14 -7 -7 -3111	10 -95 -37 2 10 -1 4 6 1 19 1 8 39 14 15 Delta- pine 85 95 84 85 87 5 91 75 80 Delta- pine	66-33	33 42 - 2 9 55 38 2 43 62 58 25 5 24 78 20 4 11 30 Lankart 5 - 1 1 - 75 - 8 Lankart	22	15 8 - 11 - 10 23 71 - 7 35 - 8 20 4 6 Rex		32	3 - 8 11 5 2 2 2 Sterm King Storm King Storm King 5 - 1 3 3 25 11	8 13 4 20 7 10 6 27 12 17 6 18 9 6 5 19 17 53 11 Other 1/ 6 8 6 6 30 8 3	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100

Less than 0.5 percent.

If Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 24. Other Southeastern and South Central States: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1956-60.

State and Year	Ginnings	Coker	Delfos	Delta- pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Empire	Fox	Plains	Rex	Sealand and Coastland	Stone- ville	Other	Total
	1,000												Tarren.
	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Virginia													
1956	11	98	-	2	-				•	-	L1-	-	100
1957	7	98		1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	•	100
1958	8	97	-	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1959	11	95	-	•	-	5	-	-	•	-	•	-	100
1960	-	87	-	-	-	13	-	-	-	-	-		100
Florida													
1956	9	63	-	3	-	2	-	14		2	-	16	100
1957	7	67	-	7	-	5	1	11	-1	1	-	8	100
1958	6	55	-	2	_	14	-	13	-	2	-	14	100
1959	9	62	-	2	-	15	_	13	-	1	-	7	100
1960	-	39	-	2	-	17	-	23	-	•	-	19	100
Centucky													
1956	8	_	_	87	-		13	_	_	-		_	100
1957	4	_		93	_	_	7	_	_	-		-	100
1958	4	_	-	83			17	_	-		-		100
1959	8		2	82	_		13			-	2	1	100
1960	-	-	-	46	28	-	14	-	8	-	-	4	100
Illinois													
1956	3	_	4	4	_	35	40	-	-	_	17	-	100
1957	1	_	11	2	_	-	74	_	_	-	•	13	100
1958	1	_	5	-	_	43	52	-	-	-			100
1959	1	_	_	_	_	38	62	_	_	_	-	_	100
1960			_	_	_	30	48	_	22	_		_	100

[•] Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 25. Western Area: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1956-60.

State and Year	Ginnings	Acala 4-42	Aoala 44	Acala 1517-C	Delta- pine	Lankart	Paymaster	Pima 32	Pima S-1	Stone- ville	Other	Total
	1,000								 		_	
	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Arizona												
1956	823	6	83	4	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	100
1957	754	4	81	4	-	-	-	-	6	-	5	100
1958	737	7	80	5	•	-	-	-	6		2	100
1959	720	6	81	7	•	-	-	-	5	1.11	1	100
1960	-	13	49	9	15	-	-	-	6	•	8	100
Jalifornia							,					
1956	1,466	97	1	-	2	4	-		-	-	- 1111	100
1957	1,557	96	1	-	3	-	- 7	-	-	-	OF THE REAL PROPERTY AND	100
1958	1,624	94	1	-	5	-	-	-	-	-		100
1959	1,947	92		-	7	-	-	-	-	-	1	100
1960	-	93	1	•	6	-	-	-	-			100
Nevada												
1956	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-01	-	-	-	-
1957	-	-	- "	-	-	- 1	-	-		-	-	_
1958	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1959	-	-	-		-		-	-	-		-	-
1960	5	-	-	100	-	-	- "	-	-	-		100
New Mexico												
1956	283	-	-	79	1	3	3	1	1	1	11	100
1957	223	-	-	80	•	4	4	-	2	1	9	100
1958	282	-	-	79	•	4	1	•	4	•	12	100
1959	303	-	-	86	•	4	1		3	•	6	100
1960		-	-	76	•	1			5	3	15	100
Texas - Distri												
1956	299	-	-	93	•	-	•	1	3	1	2	100
1957	283	~	-	85	•	-		1	11	1	2	100
1958	301	-	-	85	1	-	•	•	10	1	3	100
1959	291	•		86	•	-	-	•	11	•	3	100
1960	-	-	1	65	1	-	- 1	-	17		16	100

^{1/} Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

[•] Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table. 26. Western Area: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states and counties, crop of 1960.

States and	Qins	1959 Ginnings	Acala 4-42	Acala WR 44	Acala 44	Aoala 15170	Acala 124-68	Delta- pine	Pima S-1	Other 1/	Total
Counties		1,000									
	Number	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
rizona		-			-						100
Coohise	6	24.0	" <u>-</u>		_	100	_		_	_	100
Graham	5	28.1	-			67		-	33		100
			6	4	60	-		-		-	
Maricopa	56	300.9		15	21	38		23	6	1	100
Pima	11	48.5	16				-	3	7	-	100
Pinal	52	252.8	25	8	45	-	3	15	4	-	100
Yuma	11	60.9	8	4	74	-	-	14	- /	-	100
All Other	1	4.8	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Total	142	720.0	13	6	49	9	1	15	6	1	100
						T					
			Aoala	Acala	Delta-	DPL	Acala	Acala	Stone-	Other	
			4-42	44	pine	Smooth Leaf	1517C	WR 44	ville #7	<u>1</u> /	Total
alifornia											,
Fresno	77	485.5	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Imperial	18	123.6	2	11	1	84	•	1	1	-	100
Kern	65	542.6	100	-	-	-		-	-		100
Kings	25	251.1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Madera	16	93.4	100	-	-	-	-		-		100
Merced	10	71.8	100	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	100
Riverside	7	50.0	56	8	-	35	-	-	-	1	100
Tulare	44	329.3	100	-	_		-	-	-		100
Total	262	1,947.3	93	1	•	6	•	•	•	•	100
			Acala	Acala	Dixie	Gregg	Lankart	Pima	Stone-	Other	Total
			1517BR	15170	King		57	S-1	ville	1/	
ew Mexico											
Chaves	14	59.6	7	89	-	-	-	-	1	3	100
Dona Ana	16	79.3		80	-	-	-	18	-	2	100
Eddy	12	59.9	6	94	-	-	-	-	-		100
Hidalgo	3	10.0	-	100	-	-	-		41	-	100
Lea	11	43.8	31	29	17	-		-	16	7	100
Luna	5	29.2	-	99	-	-	-	-	-	1	100
Roosevelt	4	13.2	-	_	-	70	12	-	-	18	100
All Other	4	8.3	18	63	-	12	•	-	-	7	100
Total	69	303.3	8	76	2	3	1	5	3	2	100
			Aoala 44	Acala	Acala	Delta-	Mesa	Pima S-1	Stone- ville	Other	Total
			44	1517BR	15170	pine	Aoala	2-1	ATITE	ㅋ	
exas istrict 6											
El Paso	22	86.7	2		66			32		_	100
Rudspeth	6	33.4	-		95			5		_	100
Pecos	9	47.4		28	69	1		-		2	100
Reeves	22	104.9		25	58	2	1	14		1	100
All Other	4	19.0		38	54	5	1	44		2	100
MII OUIGI	-			30	34					-	
Total	63	291.4	1	15	65	1	•	17		1	100

[•] Less than 0.5 percent.

^{1/} Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE